

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,049	01/15/2002	Monika Oswald	218230US0X	2944
22850 75	90 11/07/2003		EXAMINER	
,	AK, MCCLELLAND	STEIN, STEPHEN J		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALLMINDIG	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1775	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

00	17
	•

Advisory Action

_		A		\sim \sim
	Application N .		Applicant(s)	
	10/045,049		OSWALD ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Stephen J Stein		1775	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	of the final rejection. AL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originall (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne fee. The appropriate extension by set in the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period so 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the a	et forth in ppeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO	OTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reissues for appeal; and/or	educing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally note.NOTE:	ejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	es which were newly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or a	be entered and an ppended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 21-33.	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>14 and 15</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18-20 and 31-33</u> .	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Exa	aminer.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
	Menta-Sh-
Prima	hen J Stein ary Examiner Init: 1775

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Hartmann reference (US 5,672,330) discloses the claimed layer composition (See Column 1).